# Building around existing tunnels Seminar

Modelling considerations for the impact of loading on brittle brick lined oviforms

Arun Sarathchandran – Senior Engineer, AECOM Peter Waddell – Technical Director, AECOM



Sydney, 27 April 2023



Disclaimer: The speakers are presenting their own personal views and are not expressing the view of ATS or AGS.



## Overview

- Introduction
- Literature Review
- Modelling Methodology
- Load Scenarios
- Results
- Conclusions



#### Introduction

- Urban construction works can potentially impact buried utilities
- Modelling of sensitive and brittle structures such as brick Oviforms (anisotropic, heterogeneous) are challenging.
- Modelling considerations, ability to withstand loads & behaviour at lower serviceability strains.
- A simplified approach to analysis with stiffness calibrated against discontinuum models.



(Source: Powerhouse museum)



#### Literature review

- Masonry structures are sensitive to tensile strains that can cause cracking.
- There are numerous publications on critical threshold strains on masonry building but are very limited for buried masonry structures
- Strains below 500µε and crack widths less than 0.1 mm are considered insignificant (for masonry buildings)
- Should be used with caution for masonry sewers/drains (New 2017) as it may exceed serviceability limits.





#### Literature review

- Thames Water
  - Tensile strain 500µɛ
  - Compression 25% of the allowable stress
- Sydney Water
  - Tensile strain 250με
  - Maximum crack width 0.2mm
  - Maximum depth of crack 1/5 of section thickness



## Modelling strategies

- Detailed micro-modelling
- Simplified micro-modelling
- Macro modelling



Simplified micro-modelling of masonry structures (Idris et al 2009, Lourenco 1996, Al-Heib 2012)



## Oviform geometry

• Brick units allowed to translate, rotate or fail along the interface.





#### Transverse Load scenarios





### Masonry Properties

Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Mortar	Brick
Young's modulus	E'	MPa	-	10,000
Cohesion	J <sub>coh</sub> / c' <sub>ref</sub>	kPa	10-100 [50]	1000
Friction	$J_{fric}$	0	25-35 [30]	-
angle				
Joint tensile	$J_{ten}$	kPa	0	-
Strength				
Joint normal	K <sub>N</sub>	GPa/m	1-50 [20]	-
stiffness				
Joint shear	K <sub>s</sub>	GPa/m	1-20 [8.3]	-
501111255				



### Transverse Modelling Results

- Ultimate and serviceability performance
- Failure of structure without confinement
- Structure is stable only when confined







#### Transverse Modelling Results

- Despite having no tensile capacity, Oviform can withstand substantial loads.
- Geometry of Oviform allows it to remain in a state of compression
- Surrounding soil fails, before the failure of oviform







#### Transverse Modelling Results







#### Continuum modelling with Equivalent stiffness

- Estimation of an equivalent liner elastic stiffness from discontinuum modelling
- Estimated elastic equivalent stiffness is close to the stiffness of mortar.





#### Macro modelling with Equivalent stiffness

 Maximum predicted tensile strains coincide with maximum crack opening location



Comparison of tensile crack location of discrete model with tensile strains location of continuum model



# Crack opening near oviform crown

- Cracking of mortar joints leads to increased permeability
- Figure shows the comparison of cracks widths to tensile strains.
- This can be used to make a prediction about crack widths without performing a discontinuum model calculation.

AECOM



Comparison of crack widths to equivalent tensile strains



## Longitudinal modelling

- Estimation of curvature
- Estimation of flexural strains (depth of neutral axis is assumed at extrados of structure)
- Estimation of axial tensile strains from horizontal ground strains
- Calculate total longitudinal strains by adding the above.



## Conclusions

- Under confinement Oviform can withstand substantial loads without collapse
- Localised cracking is expected at small deformations, however, Oviform geometry allows it to remain in a state of compression
- Mortared joint brick Oviform can be modelled with linear elastic stiffness comparable to that of mortar.
- Results show maximum predicted tensile strains coincide with maximum crack opening location
- Maximum crack width can be estimated based on tensile strains on equivalent continuum model.



# Thank You!

# Questions



18